The Physics of Energy Consumption in Cars: Braking vs. Air Resistance

Hariprasad Narayanan, Balasubramanian Viswanathan
National Centre for Catalysis Research
Indian Institute of Technology Madras
Chennai-600036

Introduction

When we drive a car, the energy from fuel or electricity is used to move the vehicle forward. However, not all of this energy is utilized efficiently. A significant portion is wasted through various mechanisms, with two primary culprits being **braking** and **air resistance (drag)**. Understanding how these forces interact provides valuable insights into how we can reduce energy consumption and make driving more efficient.

Energy Dissipation: Braking vs. Drag

Every time a car accelerates, it gains kinetic energy, which is proportional to its mass and the square of its speed (v^2). When the car slows down, this kinetic energy is often wasted as heat in the brakes. This process is particularly significant in city driving, where frequent stops at traffic lights and intersections cause repeated cycles of acceleration and braking.

On the other hand, as the car moves, it must push air out of the way, creating swirling air behind it. This phenomenon, known as **air resistance**, also consumes energy. Unlike braking, which depends on the distance between stops, air resistance increases dramatically with speed, scaling with the cube of the velocity (v^3).

The relative importance of braking versus drag depends on the **distance between stops** (d), the **mass of the car** (m_c), and the car's **frontal area** (A) and **drag coefficient** (c_d). Specifically, the balance between braking and drag is determined by the ratio:

$$\frac{m_c}{\rho A d}$$

Where:

- m_c: Mass of the car,
- ρ: Density of air ($\approx 1.2\,\mathrm{kg/m^3}$),
- A: Effective frontal area of the car ($c_d A_{\mathrm{car}}$),
- d: Distance between stops.

If this ratio is large ($m_c > \rho A d$), braking dominates energy losses. Conversely, if the ratio is small ($m_c < \rho A d$), drag becomes the dominant factor.

Special Distance d^*: The Transition Point

There exists a critical distance, d^*, where braking and drag contribute equally to energy dissipation. Beyond d^*, drag dominates; below d^*, braking takes precedence. The formula for d^* is:

$$d^* = \frac{m_c}{\rho A}$$

For a typical car:

- $m_c = 1000\,\text{kg}$,
- $A = c_d A_{\text{car}} = \frac{1}{3} \times (2\,\text{m} \times 1.5\,\text{m}) = 1\,\text{m}^2$,
- $\rho = 1.2\,\text{kg/m}^3$,

we find:

$$d^* = \frac{1000}{1.2 \times 1} = 833\,\text{m}.$$

Thus:

- For distances **less than 833 m**, braking dominates.
- For distances **greater than 833 m**, drag dominates.

This distinction has profound implications for energy efficiency in different driving scenarios.

City Driving vs. Highway Driving

In **city driving**, where stops are frequent and the distance between them is typically less than d^*, braking dominates energy losses. To save energy in such conditions:

- Reduce the car's mass (m_c).
- Use regenerative braking systems, which recover some of the braking energy and store it in the battery.
- Drive more slowly, as energy losses scale with v^3.

In contrast, **highway driving** involves fewer stops, so drag dominates energy losses. To improve efficiency on highways:

- Improve aerodynamics by reducing the car's drag coefficient (c_d).
- Minimize the frontal area (A).
- Drive more slowly, again leveraging the v^3 relationship.

Power Consumption at Highway Speeds

At highway speeds, drag is the primary energy sink. The power required to overcome drag is given by:

$$P_{\text{drag}} = \frac{1}{2}\rho A v^3$$

For example, at $v = 110\,\text{km/h} = 31\,\text{m/s}$, $A = 1\,\text{m}^2$, and $\rho = 1.2\,\text{kg/m}^3$:

$$P_{\text{drag}} = \frac{1}{2} \times 1.2 \times 1 \times (31)^3 = 17,856\,\text{W} \approx 18\,\text{kW}.$$

However, internal combustion engines are only about 25% efficient, meaning that for every 1 unit of useful energy, 4 units of fuel energy are consumed. This inefficiency further exacerbates energy losses.

Can We Make Cars 100 Times More Efficient?

While improvements in engine efficiency and vehicle design can reduce energy consumption, achieving a 100-fold increase in efficiency is unrealistic due to fundamental physical constraints. On highways, most energy is spent overcoming drag, which depends on the car's shape and speed. Changing materials or improving engine efficiency cannot eliminate drag entirely.

Even electric vehicles (EVs), which are more efficient due to their 90% motor efficiency compared to 25% for gasoline engines, still face drag and other losses. While EVs offer significant gains in efficiency, they cannot bypass the laws of physics.

Practical Ways to Save Energy

To reduce energy consumption, drivers can adopt strategies tailored to their driving environment:

- **City Driving**:
  - Reduce car weight (m_c).
  - Use regenerative braking.
  - Drive slower.
- **Highway Driving**:
  - Improve aerodynamics (c_d).
  - Reduce frontal area (A).
  - Drive slower.

Conclusion

The energy consumption of a car is dictated by whether braking or drag dominates, which in turn depends on the distance between stops (d). For typical cars, city driving (with stops less than 833 meters apart) is dominated by braking, while highway driving (with longer distances between stops) is dominated by drag.

While technological advancements can improve efficiency, making cars 100 times more efficient is not feasible due to fundamental physical limits like drag and braking losses. By understanding these principles, however, we can make informed choices to reduce energy consumption and drive more sustainably.

Energy dissipation is braking-dominated if $d < d^*$ and drag-dominated if $d > d^*$.

References

MacKay, D. J. C. (2008). Sustainability Without the Hot Air. UIT Cambridge Ltd.

This book provides a straightforward and data-driven exploration of sustainable energy, breaking down complex topics into accessible information for readers. MacKay emphasizes the importance of numbers and realistic assessments in addressing climate change and sustainability challenges.