

# CO<sub>2</sub> Activation over Catalytic Surfaces

Andrea Álvarez, Marta Borges, Juan José Corral-Pérez, Joan Giner Olcina, Lingjun Hu, Damien Cornu, Rui Huang, Dragos Stoian, and [Atsushi](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7778-4008) Urakawa<sup>\*[a]</sup>

This article describes the main strategies to activate and convert carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) into valuable chemicals over catalytic surfaces. Coherent elements such as common intermediates are identified in the different strategies and concisely discussed based on the reactivity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with the aim to understand the decisive factors for selective and efficient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion.

# 1. Carbon Dioxide and Its Reactivity

Management of carbon on Earth has become one of the central themes in science, society, and politics owing to continuous relocation of carbon from the underground to the atmosphere in the form of carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ . This is a consequence of the modern life of mankind largely relying on burning or utilising carbon-based fossil fuels, which also causes their depletion. Recently, global warming and consequent climate change have been ascribed to the increasing concentration of atmospheric green-house gases, most represented by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and the world is joining forces to reduce the amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission to the atmosphere and convert the "waste"  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ into valuable chemicals like polymers and fuels.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is a thermodynamically stable molecule with the standard formation enthalpy of  $-393.5 \text{ kJ}$ mol<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>[1]</sup> However, CO<sub>2</sub> can be transformed with notable reactivity depending on the chemical environment. Among them catalysis offers specific sites to activate CO<sub>2</sub> for its chemical transformation. While CO<sub>2</sub> to polymers is generally enabled by efficient homogeneous catalysts (i.e. reactants and catalyst are in the same liquid phase), large-scale production of useful chemicals like fuels necessitates continuous operation using heterogeneous catalyst to activate  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  over its surface. There are several activation methods over catalyst surface reported to date and each method generally leads characteristic reactivity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and products due to the unique form of activated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  during transformation. This article aims at concisely describing the reactivity of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in general, summarising the state-of-the-art activation methods and also highlighting similarities in different modes of CO<sub>2</sub> activation and correlations to product selectivity to evaluate coherent views on CO<sub>2</sub> transformation over catalytic surfaces.

[a] Dr. A. Álvarez, M. Borges, J. J. Corral-Pérez, J. G. Olcina, L. Hu, Dr. D. Cornu, Dr. R. Huang, Dr. D. Stoian, Prof. A. Urakawa Institute of Chemical Research of Catalonia (ICIQ) The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology (BIST) Av. Països Catalans 16, 43007 Tarragona (Spain) E-mail: aurakawa@iciq.es The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under <https://doi.org/10.1002/cphc.201700782>.

An invited contribution to a Special Issue on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  Utilisation

The general properties of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecule, associated with its reactivity, are summarised in the following four points:

#### 1) Bending of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$

For the uncharged state, bending of the molecule from its linear equilibrium geometry induces changes in the shape and energy level of the molecular orbitals. Notably, the more bent the geometry, the lower the energy level of the in-plane (i.e. to the plane of bending) contribution of  $2\pi$ , orbital (the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital, LUMO) as shown in Figure 1. Changing the OCO bond angle from  $180^\circ$  to  $157^\circ$ , the proportion of the LUMO on the carbon is increased from 61% to 78%, while the distance between carbon and oxygen (< 0.01 Å) and the energy ( $\Delta E <$  0.5 eV) remain almost constant.<sup>[2]</sup> Importantly, this lowering of the in-plane  $2\pi_u$  orbital (LUMO) energy upon bending makes the carbon atom electrophilic.

#### 2) Repartition of the Charges

When isolated, a positive charge can be found on the carbon atom (the Mulliken's population is  $+0.368$  e) and negative charges on the two oxygen atoms (with a population of  $-0.184$  e).<sup>[3]</sup> A polarized medium like water can increase the charge on the carbon to  $+0.407$  e (obtained by DFT using a polarizable continuum model with a linear geometry).<sup>[3]</sup> This environmental effect together with the first point of LUMO lowering can make the carbon atom of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  more reactive.

#### 3) Electron Transfer to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$

When an electron is transferred to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , it becomes a radical anion. Obviously, this electron-transfer process is facilitated when  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is bent as the LUMO level is lower (see point 1). Its equilibrium geometry is highly bent (ca.  $135^{\circ}$ ) with the singly occupied molecular orbital (SOMO) at a very high energy level (i.e. nucleophilic), very close to the LUMO of the neutral  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at  $135^\circ$  OCO angle with almost the same orbital character (Figure 1).<sup>[4]</sup> The bond length is elongated by ca. 0.08 Å compared to the neutral linear state, clearly indicating the weakening of the C-O bond. This specific state has been identified as



# **CHEMPHYSCHEM** Concepts



Figure 1. Orbital energy diagrams with characteristic OCO angle and CO bond length of CO<sub>2</sub> in the linear and bent forms, radical CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, formate ion, and formate radical. The calculations were performed with (U)CCSD/cc-pVTZ.<sup>[4]</sup> The energy scale is shown in Hartree.

the key intermediate state in many  $CO_2$  transformation reactions as discussed later. The radical  $CO_2^-$  is metastable in vacuum (0.5 eV higher in energy than the linear neutral  $\mathsf{CO_2}^{[5]})$ but it can be stabilised on surfaces as detected by infrared and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy.<sup>[6]</sup> In this state, the carbon atom bears a negative charge  $(-0.133 \, \mathrm{e}^{[3]})$  which leads to an "Umpolung" of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reactivity and the carbon atom becomes nucleophilic or a nucleophile. Also, the elongation of C-O bond can lead dissociation and formation of surface adsorbed CO and O atom.

#### 4) Hydrogen (Hydride) Transfer to CO<sub>2</sub>

In both heterogeneous and homogeneous catalytic transformations, one of the most common intermediates is formate. Formate anion and formate (radical) are often reported to be the intermediate prior to further transformation when hydride or hydrogen atom, respectively, reacts with the carbon of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .<sup>[7]</sup> Although not well documented, interestingly, the CO bond length, the OCO bond angle and orbital characters of formate anion are very similar to those of the radical  $CO_2^-$ (Figure 1). This similarity can be understood as if the hydrogen atom is weakly attached to the radical  $CO_2^-$  without altering the chemical character. The highest occupied molecular orbital

(HOMO) of formate anion is stabilized compared to that (in this case, SOMO) of the radical  $CO_2^-$  as expected. Still the high energy level of the HOMO indicates the strong nucleophilic character of formate anion with similar reactive properties to that of radical  $CO_2^-$ . On the other hand, formate radical shows totally different orbital characters and thus reactivity, judging from the LUMO and the SOMO at very low energy levels. This would result in highly electrophilic character of formate radical (Figure 1).

These four characteristics of CO $_2$  reactivity are highly linked and the charge/electron transfer induces and is induced by geometric transformation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .

The aforementioned chemical nature of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and its activated forms imply that catalytic transformation over surfaces should create unique environment and space accelerating and facilitating charge separation,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  bending and electron/hydrogen transfer to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Indeed,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  transformation reactions are reported with activation methods creating such environment for charge repartition (e.g. acid-base catalysis) and facilitating and forcing electron transfer (e.g. photo- and electrocatalysis). We can categorize them into five types, namely, thermal activation (which includes 1) metal activation and 2) acid– base activation), 3) photo activation, 4) electro activation, and 5) plasma activation (Figure 2), and the general characteristics





Figure 2. Common methods of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation over catalytic surfaces.

of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reactivity and widely found key intermediates and products for each activation methods are briefly summarised in the following sections.

# 2. Thermal Activation: Metal Sites

This activation consists in an electron transfer from the metal to CO<sub>2</sub>, forming adsorbed radical CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Although the required energy for this process is very high due to the large work function of these metal surfaces, higher reaction temperatures can result in a larger number of electrons with sufficient energy to take part in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation (Figure 2). The addition of electron donors such as alkali metals can enhance this activation. For instance, a metal promoted with potassium enhances the formation of strongly bound radical  $CO_2^{-}$ .<sup>[8]</sup> The configuration of this anion on metal surface is determined by the type of metal and its surface plane since the atomic orbitals of metals differ from each other. According to the DFT calculations performed for different metals such as Fe, Co, Ni and Cu, the bonding of radical  $CO_2^-$  on metal surface was found stronger for earlier transition metals with lower energy barriers for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation.[9]

On clean metal surfaces, radical  $CO_2^-$  can be dissociated to adsorbed CO and O or form surface  $CO_3^2$  and CO via its disproportionation, depending on the nature of metal. When atomic oxygen or hydrogen is pre-adsorbed on a metal, surface species such as carbonates or formates can be formed, respectively. On Ni for example, a small precoverage of atomic hydrogen induces the formation of formates while too high coverage is known to suppress  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adsorption.<sup>[10]</sup>

In the literature, most of the metal-catalysed reactions involving  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  are performed in the presence of hydrogen. However, the roles of hydrogen in such reactions catalysed by metal are widely debated. It has been reported that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can be continuously activated due to the hydrogen constantly reducing the metal which gets oxidised during  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation. Contrarily, the main role of hydrogen is also claimed to be a direct involvement in the formation of formates to yield products such as CO and other oxygenates. This latter role dominates the major part in literature comparing to the former one.[11] The mechanism of formate formation, Langmuir-Hinshelwood (i.e. reaction of hydrogen and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , both adsorbed on surface) or Eley-Rideal mechanisms (i.e. reaction of gas phase  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with surface adsorbed H), has not been systematically discussed in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  hydrogenation reactions. A study about kinetics of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  methanation on Pt and Co nanoparticles revealed that the diffusion rate of surface atomic hydrogen was closely related to the reaction rate,  $[12]$  implying that the reaction of hydrogen and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  on the metal surface follows Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism. However, this mechanism may change, depending on the type of metal.

Some surface species are reported as the key intermediates formed prior to the production of different important chemicals such as CO, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CH<sub>3</sub>OH. CO<sub>2</sub> may undergo one or several intermediate steps to yield the targeted final product and side-products. The main reported surface intermediates in thermally activated metal catalysed reactions are CO, formate (HCOO) and carboxyl (COOH) species. For example, both surface CO and HCOO have been reported as intermediates for different reactions using Cu-based catalysts. Selective produc-



tion of CO or methanol can be achieved by changing reaction parameters such as temperature and pressure. When metal is supported on metal oxide, the main role of metal is to dissociate  $H<sub>2</sub>$  while CO<sub>2</sub> can be trapped or even activated on metal oxide due to acid-base interaction and other reactions paths may become active, as discussed in the following section.

### 3. Thermal Activation: Acid–Base Sites

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is acknowledged as a mildly acidic molecule and it is attracted by the basic sites of solid surfaces, as evidenced by the intensive use of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to probe the number and strength of basic sites by temperature programmed desorption of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ from a surface. Nevertheless, due to the polarised character of the molecule (point 2), the nature of the molecule is regarded amphoteric because of the more electron-deficient C atom and the more electron-rich O atom, prone to be attacked by either electron rich or electron deficient site/atom, respectively.

The most common referrals to the notion of acidity and basicity of solid materials are Brønsted (acid: proton donor, base: proton acceptor) and Lewis (acid: electron acceptor, base: electron donor) sites. Generally acid-base materials are metal oxides and they are categorised into basic (e.g. MgO), acidic (e.g. y-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), amphoteric (e.g. CeO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>) and neutral  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  materials. A metal oxide surface is regarded as Lewis acid when the oxygen atom of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  chemically interacts with the surface through the metal cation  $(M^{n+})$  and as Lewis base when the carbon atom of CO<sub>2</sub> interacts through O<sup>2-</sup> site (Figure 2), creating surface spots inducing charge repartitioning (point 2).

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is adsorbed or reacts over acid–base materials, resulting in three common forms: 1) carbonate  $(CO_3^2)$  formed through reaction with O site, 2) bicarbonate  $(HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  formed through reaction with surface OH, and 3) linear adsorption (parallel or perpendicular to the surface). Increased degree of surface acidity can promote the linear configuration, whereas more basic metal oxides promote the formation of a bent and reactive  $CO_2^-$  on the surface due to the electron-donating character of the surface (points 1 and 3).<sup>[13]</sup> The forms (1) and (2) can be considered as the products through such activation over basic sites mainly consisting of surface oxygen atoms.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  transformation into valuable chemicals over acid-base catalysts is known as the non-reductive route since no formal redox of C or O takes place. As discussed earlier, the presence of an active metal together with acid-base sites of metal oxide material is a common approach to induce reductive reactions in the presence of, for example, hydrogen.<sup>[14]</sup>

From the first adsorbed species, the widely reported chemicals produced via the non-reductive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  transformation are cyclic and linear organic carbonates, carbamates, carboxylic acids, polymers or urea. In all cases, the presence of Lewis acid–Lewis base pairs in close vicinity with specific acidity/basicity strength is advantageous or likely required. Besides, there are more indications that CO<sub>2</sub> is activated over surface oxygen defect sites, followed by its subsequent dissociation into CO and O or its attack from more reactive species leading to the formation of reaction intermediates.<sup>[15]</sup> This implies that in such activation mechanisms acid-base sites may involve and induce the surface redox process of reducible metal oxides (e.g. for oxygen storage materials).

One of the major advantages of acid–base materials is their fine-tunability. One can tune the density and strength of acid and base sites based on material types (single/mixed metal oxides, zeolites, MOF, etc.), composition, nano-structure, and dispersion. At present, true understanding of the roles of acid– base sites and of their geometrical and electronic structures for efficient  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation needs to be advanced for bottomup catalyst design.

#### 4. Photocatalytic Activation

Artificial photosynthesis represents a promising alternative route to convert atmospheric and anthropogenic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into useful carbon-containing chemicals. The utilisation of sunlight as an abundant and economic energy source has triggered numerous studies on the photocatalytic transformation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ into valuable chemicals. Particularly, converting the energy contained in sunlight into storable chemical energy carriers such as methanol is an attractive mean to efficiently utilise the fluctuating and discontinuously available natural energy.

The reaction is mediated by a photocatalyst that harvests light and transforms the energy into a reactive form activating  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and other reactant(s). Generally, H<sup>+</sup> source is required for photocatalytic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion and water (possibly  $H<sub>2</sub>$ ) is commonly used because of its abundance on Earth. The chemical reaction during artificial photosynthesis is as follows [Eq. (1)]:

$$
CO_2 + bH_2O \xrightarrow{\text{hiv, photocatalyst}} C_{x}H_{y}O_{z} (+ O_2) \quad (1)
$$

Photocatalysts are usually semiconductor materials which absorb a characteristic wide range of light spectrum with sufficient energy to produce an internal charge separation upon light absorption. Photoexcited electron is promoted from the valence band (VB) of the semiconductor material to the empty conduction band (CB), leading to the formation of a positively charged hole in the VB (Figures 2 and 3). The generation of electron–hole pairs is only initiated if the light energy is equal to or larger than the band gap  $(E_g)$  of the semiconductor material ( $hv \geq E_g$ ).<sup>[16]</sup>

When  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is at the catalyst surface (e.g. adsorption), it can be activated via electron transfer (point 3), forming more reactive radical  $CO_2^-$ , which can be further reacted with proton and other chemical species in vicinity.<sup>[17]</sup> For these reactions to take place, the reduction potentials of the possible products should be less negative than the conduction band of the catalyst (Figure 3). The surface properties and the number of electrons and protons that can be transferred will determine the product selectivity. For example, eight electrons and eight protons are required to generate methane, which is obviously not facile. In reality, the existence of both protons and electrons often leads to the production of  $H_2$ , thus competing with the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction.<sup>[18]</sup>



Figure 3. Photoexcited electron formation and its involvement in the reduction reaction in a typical photocatalytic system. Redox potentials of the main products obtained from CO<sub>2</sub> are listed against the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) as the standard for zero potential.

The vast majority of the mechanistic studies have been performed on  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  with various co-catalysts, and several pathways have been proposed for the formation of CO, methanol and methane.<sup>[19]</sup> However, the detection and identification of involved radical intermediates is very challenging due to their short lifetime and subsequent transformation. General understanding on how the reaction is driven towards a desired product is still in its infancy.

Regardless of all the knowledge gained over the last years,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  photoconversion efficiency remains low. A suitable photocatalyst design is required to maximise light utilisation, retard electron–hole recombination, and facilitate charge separation and migration.<sup>[20]</sup> Furthermore, engineering photoreaction system is essential to enhance  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation rate by properly making use of reactive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  phase and improving light utilisation efficiency.<sup>[21]</sup>

#### 5. Electrocatalytic Activation

The reduction of CO $_2$  in aqueous solutions by electrocatalytic activation was first reported around 1870 when formic acid was synthesized from an aqueous bicarbonate solution using Zn and carbon electrodes (Bunsen Cell).<sup>[22]</sup> Since then, there has been steadily increasing interest in the field of electrochemical reduction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to valuable chemicals.

The working principle is analogous to that of photocatalysis. Surface-catalysed electro-activation makes use of charge transfer across the interface between the surface (often metallic) and adsorbed  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Figure 2). This charge transfer occurs from the metal's Fermi level with the formation of radical  $CO_2^-$  and this process is energy intensive  $(-1.90 \text{ V}$ , Figure 3). As discussed previously, multiple electron transfers or coupled protonation, or proton-coupled electron transfer reactions are required to produce highly reduced chemicals such as formic acid, methane or methanol (Figure 3).

In reality, even if the reduction (or redox) potentials are not that high (Figure 3), CO $_2$  reduction does not take place easily

**CHEMPHYSCHEM Concepts** 

and actual electrical potentials for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction are much more negative in most cases than the theoretical ones, resulting in so-called overpotential. Various parameters such as the type of electrode, electrolyte, pH, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, pressure and temperature cause the overpotential.

The metal type used as cathode (the electrode where reduction reaction takes place) has been known to define the specific path where  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction is driven (Figure 4). Three main products from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , namely CO, formic acid and hydrocarbons, are often reported besides  $H_2$  arising from the reduction of  $H^+$ [23] Besides the three products, alcohols, particularly methanol, are reported as product of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction with good Faradaic efficiency<sup>[24]</sup> over characteristic active metals.<sup>[25]</sup> In addition, surface structure and surface architecture (roughness, defects and porosity) are also known to impact product selectivity.<sup>[26]</sup>



**Figure 4.** General selectivity trends defined by the metal type for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction when used as cathode.<sup>[23]</sup>

Most setups employed for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  electrocatalytic reduction are electrolytic cells where a cathode and an anode are immersed in aqueous electrolyte and gaseous  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is continuously bubbled through the solution. Although the use of aqueous solutions is advantageous because it serves as proton source, the competition between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction and hydrogen production can become a major issue, as is the case for photocatalysis. Also,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reduction rate can be limited due to its low solubility in water at standard temperature and pressure. Pressuring the aqueous solution with higher  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  pressure and the use of non-aqueous solvent can improve the local  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration near the cathode surface.<sup>[23b]</sup> With the latter approach using N,N-dimethylformamide, propylene carbonate and methanol,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  solubility can be increased by 20, 8 and 5 folds, respectively. Also the use of non-aqueous electrolyte is known to open transformation paths to oxalates and derivatives.[27]

Similar to photocatalytic activation, reaction engineering plays key roles in electrocatalytic  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion to improve the contacts between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and the cathode with optimised mass transport properties and with a proper amount of proton source like H<sub>2</sub>O. Making use of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  phase adequately (in a dissolved or gaseous form) and reactor engineering could indeed afford outstanding  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  reactivity even in continuous opera-



tion.<sup>[28]</sup> Such engineering approach using a divided cell (e.g. membrane reactor) is also important to avoid destruction of products by oxidation.<sup>[29]</sup>

### 6. Plasma Activation

Besides thermal, photo-, and electroactivation of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , plasma activation is another approach rapidly gaining popularity as a more sustainable mean to drive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion with high productivity and selectivity at lower energy inputs. Plasma is the state where electron is not bound to an atom or molecule, thus existing as (partially) ionised gas.<sup>[30]</sup> Molecules and atoms in this state show very interesting reactivity. For example, while a very high temperature (1600–2000 K) is necessary to dissociate CO<sub>2</sub> into CO and O<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase, in the plasma state the thermodynamically unfavourable reaction proceeds at even ambient conditions by giving 5.5 eV to the molecule through breaking the C=O bond via stepwise vibrational excitation.<sup>[31]</sup> Most recent applications of plasma in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion make use of non-thermal plasma whose bulk (ion) temperature can be as low as room temperature, while electrons are hot (high kinetic energy).

Although plasma-activated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dissociation can take place without a catalyst, its presence is known to greatly improve conversion efficiency at lower energy consumption.[31] Often dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma is used for catalytic reactors. Reported plasma-catalyst synergetic effects on the catalyst surfaces are morphological changes of catalyst, chemical/ electronic structure changes, altering reaction paths (thus product selectivity) and lower activation barrier and higher reaction rates.<sup>[32]</sup> All of these effects are induced by the enhanced electric field created by the presence of negatively charged surface due to higher mobility of electrons than that of ions. In addition, catalyst shape and nanostructures are known to affect the strength of electric field and, consequently, the chemical and electronic structure (i.e. reactivity) of catalyst in the presence of plasma.<sup>[32]</sup>

One of the most successful examples of plasma–catalyst synergy for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion has been reported for dry reforming of methane where two major greenhouse gases react to yield syngas<sup>[30–31]</sup> [Eq.  $(2)$ ]:

$$
CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2 \tag{2}
$$

Without a catalyst, this reaction takes place but with poor selectivity (higher hydrocarbons and oxygenates are observed).<sup>[32]</sup> In the presence of a catalyst, both conversion and selectivity can be improved.

Plasma-activated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion is still in its infancy, much younger than other methods described in this article and requires greater efforts for its full exploitation. That said, this approach can be more effective than other methods because of the highly energetic electrons on the catalyst surface which can activate neutral  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into radical  $CO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>$  among other reaction intermediates. Low temperature activation is particularly beneficial when a reaction is exothermic (e.g. methanol synthesis from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  hydrogenation) since one can expect higher equilibrium  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion and higher methanol selectivity at lower temperature.<sup>[33]</sup>

# 7. Concluding Remarks

Despite its high thermodynamic stability,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can be transformed into valuable chemicals, mainly carbon monoxide, hydrocarbons, methanol, and formic acid, over catalytic surfaces through various means of activation. Aided by external energy sources such as temperature, light or electricity, a unique environment can be created to activate this rather inert molecule by facilitating charge transfer (mainly electron injection) processes to the molecule. Going through the different activation methods and reaction intermediates and products, commonly observed reaction paths can be summarised (Figure 5).

By far, the most important intermediates are radical  $CO_2^$ and formate, according to the literature, and these intermedi-



Figure 5. Key intermediates and products highlighting the similarities among the different activation methods.



ate species are considered to show analogous reactivity based on the electronic structures (Figure 1). Gaining electronic and mechanistic insights into how  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  becomes reactive and undergoes through one or several intermediate steps is of great importance to boost  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  conversion towards targeted products. This has been demonstrated for a Ni-catalyst system where selectivity to formate or CO can be defined by how the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  coordinates to Ni, that is, via C or  $O<sub>1</sub><sup>[2]</sup>$  With the theoretical and experimental tools available nowadays, such rational approaches should be maximally used to design new generation catalysts in conjunction with appropriate activation methods and advanced reactor engineering, aiming at creation of tailored surface sites favouring  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  activation and subsequent selective conversion.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the Generalitat de Catalunya for financial support through the CERCA Programme and recognition (2014 SGR 893) and MINECO (CTQ2012-34153 and CTQ2016-75499-R (FEDER-UE)) for financial support and support through Severo Ochoa Excellence Accreditation 2014–2018 (SEV-2013-0319).

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: activation  $\cdot$  carbon dioxide  $\cdot$  heterogeneous catalysis · mechanism · surface science

- [1] L. A. Curtiss, K. Raghavachari, P. C. Redfern, J. A. Pople, J. [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.473182) Phys. 1997, 106[, 1063](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.473182) [– 1079](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.473182).
- [2] B. Mondal, J. Song, F. Neese, S. Ye, [Curr. Opin. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.12.022) Biol. 2015, 25, [103– 109.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.12.022)
- [3] S. Nakamura, M. Hatakeyama, Y. Wang, K. Ogata, K. Fujii, in Advances in CO2 Capture, Sequestration, and Conversion, Vol. 1194, American Chemical Society, 2015, pp. 123 – 134.
- [4] Gaussian 09 (Revision A.02), M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. Montgomery, J. A., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L.

Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

- [5] H. J. Freund, M. W. Roberts, Surf. Sci. Rep. 1996, 25, 225-273.
- [6] W. Taifan, J.-F. Boily, J. Baltrusaitis, Surf. Sci. Rep. [2016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfrep.2016.09.001), 71, 595 671.
- [7] D. Cheng, F. R. Negreiros, E. Aprà, A. Fortunelli, [ChemSusChem](https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201200872) 2013, 6,  $944 - 965.$
- [8] F. Solymosi, [J Mol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-5102(91)85070-I) Catal. 1991, 65[, 337– 358](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-5102(91)85070-I).
- [9] C. Liu, T. R. Cundari, A. K. Wilson, J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116[, 5681](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp210480c)-[5688.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp210480c)
- [10] J. Wambach, G. Illing, H. J. Freund, [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(91)87194-G) Phys. Lett. 1991, 184, 239- $244$
- [11] C.-S. Chen, W.-H. Cheng, S.-S. Lin, [Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1019071117449) Lett. 2000, 68, 45-48.
- [12] S. K. Beaumont, S. Alayoglu, C. Specht, N. Kruse, G. A. Somorjai, [Nano](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl501969k) Lett. 2014, 14[, 4792](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl501969k) [– 4796.](https://doi.org/10.1021/nl501969k)
- [13] K. R. Hahn, M. Iannuzzi, A. P. Seitsonen, J. R. Hutter, J. Phys. [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp309565u) C 2013, 117[, 1701](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp309565u) [– 1711](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp309565u).
- [14] T. Hyakutake, W. V. Beek, A. Urakawa, J. [Mater. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA09461E) A 2016, 4, 6878-[6885.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA09461E)
- [15] L. Liu, W. Fan, X. Zhao, H. Sun, P. Li, L. Sun, [Langmuir](https://doi.org/10.1021/la301679h) 2012, 28, 10415-[10424](https://doi.org/10.1021/la301679h).
- [16] A. Dhakshinamoorthy, S. Navalon, A. Corma, H. Garcia, Energy [Environ.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee21948d) Sci. 2012, 5[, 9217– 9233](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ee21948d).
- [17] X. Chang, T. Wang, J. Gong, Energy [Environ.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EE00383D) Sci. 2016, 9, 2177-2196.
- [18] A. Corma, H. Garcia, J. [Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.06.008) 2013, 308[, 168– 175.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.06.008)
- [19] S. N. Habisreutinger, L. Schmidt-Mende, J. K. Stolarczyk, [Angew. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207199) Int. Ed. 2013, 52[, 7372](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207199) [– 7408](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201207199); [Angew. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.201207199) 2013, 125, 7516 – 7557.
- [20] L. Liu, Y. Li, Aerosol. Air. Qual. Res. 2014, 14, 453 469.
- [21] K. Li, X. An, K. H. Park, M. Khraisheh, J. Tang, Catal. [Today](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2013.12.006) 2014, 224, 3-[12.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2013.12.006)
- [22] E. Royer, C. R. Acad. Sci. 1870, 70, 731 735.
- [23] a) E. V. Kondratenko, G. Mul, J. Baltrusaitis, G. O. Larrazábal, J. Pérez-Ramírez, [Energy Environ.](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ee41272e) Sci. 2013, 6, 3112-3135; b) Y. Liu, J. Qiao, in Electrochemical Reduction of Carbon Dioxide: Fundamentals and Technologies, CRC Press, 2016, pp. 1 – 46.
- [24] J. Albo, A. Irabien, J. [Catal.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2015.11.014) 2016, 343, 232 239.
- [25] J. Albo, M. Alvarez-Guerra, P. Castaño, A. Irabien, Green [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC02453B) 2015, 17, [2304](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC02453B) [– 2324](https://doi.org/10.1039/C4GC02453B).
- [26] B. Viswanathan in New and Future Developments in Catalysis, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2013, pp. 275 – 295.
- [27] R. P. S. Chaplin, A. A. Wragg, J. Appl. Electrochem. 2003, 33, 1107– 1123. [28] S. Verma, X. Lu, S. Ma, R. I. Masel, P. J. A. Kenis, Phys. [Chem. Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP05665A) Phys.
- 2016, 18[, 7075](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP05665A)  [7084.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CP05665A)
- [29] I. Merino-Garcia, E. Alvarez-Guerra, J. Albo, A. Irabien, [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.05.032) Eng. J. [2016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.05.032), 305, 104 [– 120.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.05.032)
- [30] A. Fridman, Plasma Chemistry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [31] B. Ashford, X. Tu, Curr. Opin. Green. Sus. Chem. 2017, 3, 45 –49.
- [32] E. C. Neyts, K. Ostrikov, M. K. Sunkara, A. Bogaerts, [Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00362) Rev. 2015, 115, [13408 –13446](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00362).
- [33] A. Álvarez, A. Bansode, A. Urakawa, A. V. Bavykina, T. A. Wezendonk, M. Makkee, J. Gascon, F. Kapteijn, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117[, 9804](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00816) [– 9838](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.6b00816).

Manuscript received: July 13, 2017 Accepted manuscript online: August 29, 2017 Version of record online: October 5, 2017